1	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH					
2	United States Attorney District of Nevada					
	Nevada Bar No. 13644					
3	LISA C. CARTIER GIROUX					
4	Nevada Bar No. 14040 Email: Lisa.Cartier-Giroux@usdoj.gov KIMBERLY SOKOLICH					
5	Email: Kimberly.Sokolich@usdoj.gov Assistant United States Attorneys					
6	501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101					
7	Phone: (702) 388-6336 Representing the United States of America					
8						
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
10	United States Of America,)	Case No.	2:20-m	j-00661-DJ <i>A</i>	A
11	Plaintiff,)	ORDER <u>Hearing</u>	<u>To</u>	Continue	Preliminary
12	vs.)	ncaring	(Fourt	h Request)	
13	ALEXANDER KOSTAN,)				
14	Defendant.)				
15						
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED	ANI) AGREEI	D, by a	nd between	Nicholas A
17	Trutanich, United States Attorney; Lisa C	Cartie	er Giroux, A	Assistan	t United Sta	tes Attorney;
18	Kimberly Sokolich, Assistant United Sta	tes 1	Attorney, re	present	ing the Uni	ted States of
19	America and Robert Langord, Esq., cou	ınsel	for defend	ant Ale	xander Kos	tan, that the
20	preliminary hearing in the above ca	ptio	ned case,	which	is currentl	y scheduled
21	for February 22, 2021 at 4:00pm, be conti	inue	d and reset	to a dat	e and time	convenient to
22	the Court, but no sooner than twenty-one	(21)	days.			
23	1. The government provided	coun	sel for the	defenda	ant with lim	ited Rule 16
24	Discovery.	1				

- Counsel for the defendant requests time to review the discovery and discuss it with his client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment. Additionally, the parties are discussing a pre-indictment resolution that may resolve the matter without a preliminary hearing.
- 2. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel an opportunity to review discovery with their client and prepare for the preliminary hearing.
 - 3. The defendant is not detained and agrees to the continuance.
- 4. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.
- 5. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) provides that a magistrate judge may extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c) with the defendant's consent and upon a showing of good cause taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. Because the defendant requires time to review discovery with their client prior to the preliminary hearing, good cause exists to extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c).
- 6. The time from February 22, 2021, to the new preliminary hearing date will be excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h) (7)(A), which provides that the Court may exclude time arising from a continuance upon finding that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the defendant and the public in a speedy trial.
- 7. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

1	8.	The additional time rec	quested by this stipulation is excludable in computing			
2	the time w	ithin which the indictment	must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title			
3	18, United	18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United				
4	States Cod	e, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) an	d (B)(i) and (iv).			
5	9.	This is the fourth reques	st to continue the preliminary hearing.			
6	DA	TED this 12th day of Febr	ary 2021.			
7						
8	1	AS A. TRUTANICH tes Attorney	<u>/s/ Robert Langford</u> ROBERT LANGFORD			
9		Cartier Giroux	Counsel for Defendant Alexander Kostan			
10	LISA C. C.	ARTIER GIROUX Jnited States Attorney				
11	/s/ Kimber					
12	KIMBERI	LY SOKOLICH Jnited States Attorney				
13		,				
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

United States Of America,) Case No. Case No. 2:20-mj-00661-DJA
Plaintiff,))
) Findings and Order on Stipulation
VS.)
Alexander Kostan,)))
Defendant.)

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- The government provided counsel for the defendant with limited Rule 16 Discovery.
 Counsel for the defendant requests time to review the discovery and discuss it with his client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment.
- 2. To allow the defense time to review the discovery with their client prior to the preliminary hearing and with the defendant's consent, the preliminary hearing in this case should be continued for good cause.
- 3. The defendant is not detained and agrees to the continuance.
- 4. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.
- 5. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel an opportunity to review discovery with their client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

5.	Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice
	served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the
	defendants in a speedy trial.

7. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing in the above-captioned matters currently scheduled for February 22, 2021, at 4:00p.m., be vacated and continued to March 22, 2021, at 4:00 p.m., Courtroom 3A.

16th
DATED this _____ day of February, 2021.

THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS United States Magistrate Judge